

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/656,593		GOLDMAN, OLIVER	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Techane J. Gergiso <i>TG</i>		2137	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Techane J. Gergiso. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Arrienne M. Lezak (Reg # 51, 943). (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 07/19/2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kennis et al..

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

*Emmanuel L. Moise*  
**EMMANUEL L. MOISE**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's attorney discussed the invention with respect to the disclosure and particularly in reference to page 11, lines 5-13, which states "In one implementation, the digital signature operations are enabled only for the self-signing electronic document 100 containing the digital signature module 110 and the digital signature rights information 115. In an alternative implementation, the user can use the digital signature module 110 to perform digital signature operations on electronic documents other than the self-signing electronic document 100, e.g., one or more electronic documents (or categories of electronic documents) that are identified in the digital signature rights information 115." The applicant's attorney amended all independent claims with features from this paragraph to overcome the prior art in record used for rejection. The examiner will fully consider the amended claims in respond in the next office action..